arXiv:1503.06168v2 [math.DG] 11 Jun 2015

Centralizers of spin subalgebras

Gerardo Arizmendi[*][†] and Rafael Herrera[‡][§]

June 12, 2015

Abstract

We determine the centralizers of certain isomorphic copies of spin subalgebras $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ in $\mathfrak{so}(d_r m)$, where d_r is the dimension of a real irreducible representation of Cl_r^0, the even Clifford algebra determined by the positive definite inner product on $\mathbb{R}^r$, where $r, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we determine the centralizer subalgebras of (the isomorphic images under certain monomorphisms of) subalgebras $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ in $\mathfrak{so}(d_r m)$, where d_r is the dimension of the irreducible representations of Cl_r^0, the even Clifford algebra determined by $\mathbb{R}^r$ endowed with the standard positive definite inner product, and $r, m \in \mathbb{N}$. The need to determine such centralizers has arisen in various geometrical settings such as the following:

- The holonomy algebra of Riemannian manifolds endowed with a parallel even Clifford structure [6].
- The automorphism group of manifolds with (almost) even Clifford (hermitian) structures [1]. The centralizers determined in this paper help generalize the results on automorphisms groups of Riemannian manifolds [10, 11], almost hermitian manifolds [9], and almost quaternion-hermitian manifolds [7].
- The structure group of Riemannian manifolds admitting twisted spin structures carrying pure spinors [4]. More precisely, if M is a smooth oriented Riemannian manifold, F is an auxiliary Riemannian vector bundle of rank r, $S(TM)$ and $S(F)$ are the locally defined spinor vector bundles of M and F respectively, $(f_1, \cdots, f_r)$ is a local orthonormal frame of F, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that the bundle $S(TM) \otimes S(F)^{\otimes m}$ is globally defined, a *pure spinor field* $\phi \in \Gamma(S(TM) \otimes S(F)^{\otimes m})$ is a spinor such that its local 2-forms $\eta_{kl}^{\phi}(X,Y) = \langle X \wedge Y \cdot \kappa_{r*}^{m}(f_k f_l) \cdot \phi, \phi \rangle$ induce at each point $x \in M$ a representation of Cl_r^0 on $T_x M$ without trivial summands. The centralizers determined in this paper are the orthogonal complements of $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ in the annihilator algebra of such a spinor. Should the spinor be parallel, such annihilator will contain the holonomy algebra of the manifold and thus be related to the special holonomies of the Berger-Simons holonomy list [2, 8].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some background material and prove three results which will be required later in the main theorems. More precisely, in Subection 2.1, we recall standard material about Clifford algebras, Spin groups, Spin algebras, and their representations. In

---

[*] Centro de Investigación en Matemáticas, A. P. 402, Guanajuato, Gto., C.P. 36000, México. E-mail: gerardo@cimat.mx

[†] Partially supported by a CONACYT scholarship

[‡] Centro de Investigación en Matemáticas, A. P. 402, Guanajuato, Gto., C.P. 36000, México. E-mail: rherrera@cimat.mx

[§] Partially supported by grants from CONACyT and LAISLA (CONACyT-CNRS)

Subsection 2.2 we find explicit descriptions of the real $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ representations $\tilde{\Delta}_r$, decompositions into irreducible summands of $\tilde{\Delta}_r \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_r$, and calculate various basic centralizers. In Section 3, we prove the main results of the paper, Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. Namely, in Subsection 3.1, we find the centralizers of $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ in $\mathfrak{so}(d_r m)$ for $r \not\equiv 0 \pmod 4$ (cf. Theorem 3.1) and, in Subsection 3.2, we find the centralizers of $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ in $\mathfrak{so}(d_r m_1 + d_r m_2)$ for $r \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ (cf. Theorem 3.2). The proofs involve Riemannian homogeneous spaces, representation theory and Clifford algebras. The separation into two cases is due to the existence of exactly one and two irreducible representations of Cl_r^0 for $r \not\equiv 0 \pmod 4$ and $r \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ respectively.

Acknowledgements. The second named author would like to thank the International Centre for Theoretical Physics and the Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques for their hospitality and support.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Clifford algebra, spin groups and representations

In this section we recall material that can also be consulted in [3, 5]. Let Cl_n denote the Clifford algebra generated by all the products of the orthonormal vectors $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ subject to the relations

$$e_j e_k + e_k e_j \quad = \quad -2\delta_{jk}, \quad \text{for } 1 \le j, k \le n.$$

We will often write

$$e_{1\ldots s} := e_1 e_2 \cdots e_s.$$

Let

$$\mathbb{C}l_n = Cl_n \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C},$$

the complexification of Cl_n. It is well known that

$$\mathbb{C}l_n \cong \begin{cases} \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^{2^k}), & \text{if } n = 2k \\ \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^{2^k}) \otimes \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^{2^k}), & \text{if } n = 2k+1 \end{cases},$$

where

$$\mathbb{C}^{2^k} = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$$

the tensor product of $k = [\frac{n}{2}]$ copies of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Let us denote

$$\Delta_n = \mathbb{C}^{2^k},$$

and consider the map

$$\kappa : \mathbb{C}l_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^{2^k})$$

which is an isomorphism for n even and the projection onto the first summand for n odd. In order to make κ_n explicit consider the following matrices with complex entries

$$Id = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, consider the generators of the Clifford algebra $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ so that κ_n can be described as follows

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &\mapsto Id \otimes Id \otimes \ldots \otimes Id \otimes Id \otimes g_1 \\ e_2 &\mapsto Id \otimes Id \otimes \ldots \otimes Id \otimes Id \otimes g_2 \\ e_3 &\mapsto Id \otimes Id \otimes \ldots \otimes Id \otimes g_1 \otimes T \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} e_4 & \mapsto & Id \otimes Id \otimes \ldots \otimes Id \otimes g_2 \otimes T \\ \vdots & & \ldots \\ e_{2k-1} & \mapsto & g_1 \otimes T \otimes \ldots \otimes T \otimes T \otimes T \\ e_{2k} & \mapsto & g_2 \otimes T \otimes \ldots \otimes T \otimes T \otimes T, \end{array}$$

and the last generator

$$e_{2k+1} \mapsto i\, T \otimes T \otimes \ldots \otimes T \otimes T \otimes T$$

if $n = 2k + 1$.

Let

$$u_{+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, -i), \quad u_{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, i)$$

which forms an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^2$ with respect to the standard Hermitian product. Note that

$$g_1(u_{\pm 1}) = iu_{\mp 1}, \quad g_2(u_{\pm 1}) = \pm u_{\mp 1}, \quad T(u_{\pm 1}) = \mp u_{\pm 1}.$$

Thus, we get a unitary basis of $\Delta_n = \mathbb{C}^{2^k}$

$$\mathcal{B} = \{u_{\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_k} = u_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes u_{\varepsilon_k} \mid \varepsilon_j = \pm 1, j = 1, \ldots, k\},$$

with respect to the induced Hermitian product on $\mathbb{C}^{2^k}$.

The Clifford multiplication of a vector e and a spinor ψ is defined by $e \cdot \psi = \kappa_n(e)(\psi)$. Thus, if $1 \le j \le k$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} e_{2j-1} \cdot u_{\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_k} & = & i(-1)^{j-1} \left(\displaystyle\prod_{\alpha=k-j+2}^{k} \varepsilon_\alpha \right) u_{\varepsilon_1,\ldots,(-\varepsilon_{k-j+1}),\ldots,\varepsilon_k} \\ e_{2j} \cdot u_{\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_k} & = & (-1)^{j-1} \left(\displaystyle\prod_{\alpha=k-j+1}^{k} \varepsilon_\alpha \right) u_{\varepsilon_1,\ldots,(-\varepsilon_{k-j+1}),\ldots,\varepsilon_k} \end{array}$$

and

$$e_{2k+1} \cdot u_{\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_k} = i(-1)^k \left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{k} \varepsilon_\alpha \right) u_{\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_k}$$

if $n = 2k + 1$ is odd.

The Spin group $Spin(n) \subset Cl_n$ is the subset

$$Spin(n) = \{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{2l-1} x_{2l} \mid x_j \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ |x_j| = 1, \ l \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

endowed with the product of the Clifford algebra. The Lie algebra of $Spin(n)$ is

$$\mathfrak{spin}(n) = \mathrm{span}\{e_i e_j \mid 1 \le i < j \le n\}.$$

The restriction of κ to $Spin(n)$ defines the Lie group representation

$$\kappa_n := \kappa|_{Spin(n)} : Spin(n) \longrightarrow GL(\Delta_n),$$

which is, in fact, special unitary [3].

There exist either real or quaternionic structures on the spin representations. A quaternionic structure α on $\mathbb{C}^2$ is given by

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\overline{z}_2 \\ \overline{z}_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and a real structure β on $\mathbb{C}^2$ is given by

$$\beta\begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{z}_1 \\ \overline{z}_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that these structures satisfy

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} \langle\alpha(v), w\rangle & = & \overline{\langle v, \alpha(w)\rangle}, & \qquad & \langle\alpha(v), \alpha(w)\rangle & = & \overline{\langle v, w\rangle}, \\ \langle\beta(v), w\rangle & = & \overline{\langle v, \beta(w)\rangle}, & & \langle\beta(v), \beta(w)\rangle & = & \overline{\langle v, w\rangle}, \end{array}$$

with respect to the standard hermitian product in $\mathbb{C}^2$, where $v, w \in \mathbb{C}^2$. The real and quaternionic structures γ_n on $\Delta_n = (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes[n/2]}$ are built as follows

$$\begin{array}{rcll} \gamma_n & = & (\alpha\otimes\beta)^{\otimes 2k} & \text{if } n = 8k, 8k+1 \quad \text{(real)}, \\ \gamma_n & = & \alpha\otimes(\beta\otimes\alpha)^{\otimes 2k} & \text{if } n = 8k+2, 8k+3 \quad \text{(quaternionic)}, \\ \gamma_n & = & (\alpha\otimes\beta)^{\otimes 2k+1} & \text{if } n = 8k+4, 8k+5 \quad \text{(quaternionic)}, \\ \gamma_n & = & \alpha\otimes(\beta\otimes\alpha)^{\otimes 2k+1} & \text{if } n = 8k+6, 8k+7 \quad \text{(real)}. \end{array}$$

which also satisfy

$$\langle\gamma_n(v), w\rangle = \overline{\langle v, \gamma_n(w)\rangle}, \qquad \langle\gamma_n(v), \gamma_n(w)\rangle = \overline{\langle v, w\rangle},$$

where $v, w \in \Delta_n$. This means

$$\langle v + \gamma_n(v), w + \gamma_n(w)\rangle \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1}$$

Lemma 2.1 *Let $m \geq r$ and let $e_{i_1}\dots e_{i_r} =: e_{i_1\dots i_r} = e_I \in Cl_m$. Then e_I commutes with $\mathfrak{spin}(r) = span\{e_ie_j | 1 \leq i < j \leq r\}$ if and only if $I \subset \{r+1, \dots, m\}$ or $\{1, \dots, r\} \subset I$.*

Proof. Suppose that neither $I \subset \{r+1, \dots, m\}$ nor $\{1, \dots, r\} \subset I$ then there exist $j, k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $j \in I$ and $k \notin I$. Rearranging the other of the i_l's if necessary we can suppose that $j = i_1$, so that $e_Ie_je_k = e_{i_1}\dots e_{i_r}e_{i_1}e_k = (-1)^r e_{i_2}\dots e_{i_r}.e_k$ and $e_je_ke_I = e_{i_1}e_ke_{i_1}\dots e_{i_r} = (-1)^{r+1}e_{i_2}\dots e_{i_r}e_k$.

Conversely, the volume form on Cl_r commutes with $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ in every dimension and if $k \notin \{1, \dots, r\}$ then for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ we have that $e_ie_je_k = e_ke_ie_j$. □

Now, we summarize some results about real representations of Cl_r^0 in the next table (cf. [5]). Here d_r denotes the dimension of an irreducible representation of Cl_r^0 and v_r the number of distinct irreducible representations.

r (mod 8)	Cl_r^0	d_r	v_r
1	$\mathbb{R}(d_r)$	$2^{\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\rfloor}$	1
2	$\mathbb{C}(d_r/2)$	$2^{\frac{r}{2}}$	1
3	$\mathbb{H}(d_r/4)$	$2^{\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\rfloor+1}$	1
4	$\mathbb{H}(d_r/4)\oplus\mathbb{H}(d_r/4)$	$2^{\frac{r}{2}}$	2
5	$\mathbb{H}(d_r/4)$	$2^{\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\rfloor+1}$	1
6	$\mathbb{C}(d_r/2)$	$2^{\frac{r}{2}}$	1
7	$\mathbb{R}(d_r)$	$2^{\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\rfloor}$	1
8	$\mathbb{R}(d_r)\oplus\mathbb{R}(d_r)$	$2^{\frac{r}{2}-1}$	2

Table 1

Let $\tilde{\Delta}_r$ denote the irreducible representation of Cl_r^0 for $r \not\equiv 0$ (mod 4) and $\tilde{\Delta}_r^{\pm}$ denote the irreducible representations for $r \equiv 0$ (mod 4). Note that the representations are complex for $r \equiv 2, 6$

(mod 8) and quaternionic for $r \equiv 3, 4, 5$ (mod 8). It is interesting to note that these features are reflected in the main results of the paper.

Note also that if $r \equiv 4, 6, 7, 8$ (mod 8) then $d_r = d_{r-1}$ and if $r \equiv 1, 2, 3, 5$ (mod 8) then $d_r = 2d_{r-1}$. By restricting to a standard subagebra $Cl^0_{r-1} \subset Cl^0_r$, the representations decompose as follows:

r (mod 8)	$\tilde{\Delta}_r\|_{Cl^0_{r-1}}$
1	$\tilde{\Delta}_r \cong \tilde{\Delta}^+_{r-1} + \tilde{\Delta}^-_{r-1}$
2	$\tilde{\Delta}_r \cong \tilde{\Delta}_{r-1} + \tilde{\Delta}_{r-1}$
3	$\tilde{\Delta}_r \cong \tilde{\Delta}_{r-1} + \tilde{\Delta}_{r-1}$
4	$\tilde{\Delta}^\pm_r \cong \tilde{\Delta}_{r-1}$
5	$\tilde{\Delta}_r \cong \tilde{\Delta}^+_{r-1} + \tilde{\Delta}^-_{r-1}$
6	$\tilde{\Delta}_r \cong \tilde{\Delta}_{r-1}$
7	$\tilde{\Delta}_r \cong \tilde{\Delta}_{r-1}$
8	$\tilde{\Delta}^\pm_r \cong \tilde{\Delta}_{r-1}$

Table 2

2.2 Real spin representations and basic centralizers

In this section we prove results which are essential in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. Let $\bigwedge^2 V$ and S^2V denote the second exterior and symmetric power of a finite dimensional vector space respectively. In addition, if the vector space is endowed with an inner product, let $S^2_0 V$ denote the orthogonal complement of the identity endomorphism within the symmetric endomorphisms of V.

Proposition 2.1 *The centralizers of the spin subalgebras under consideration are:*

r (mod 8)	$C_{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}(\mathfrak{spin}(r))$	$C_{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)\oplus\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}(\mathfrak{spin}(r))$
0		$\{0\}$
±1	$\{0\}$	
±2	$\mathfrak{u}(1)$	
±3	$\mathfrak{sp}(1)$	
4		$\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$

Furthermore, the representations $\bigwedge^2\tilde{\Delta}_r$, $\bigwedge^2\tilde{\Delta}^\pm_r$, $S^2_0\tilde{\Delta}_r$, $S^2_0\tilde{\Delta}^\pm_r$ *and* $\tilde{\Delta}^+_r \otimes \tilde{\Delta}^-_r$ *have the following trivial* $Spin(r)$ *subrepresentations:*

r (mod 8)	$\bigwedge^2\tilde{\Delta}_r, \bigwedge^2\tilde{\Delta}^\pm_r$	$S^2_0\tilde{\Delta}_r, S^2_0\tilde{\Delta}^\pm_r$	$\tilde{\Delta}^+_r \otimes \tilde{\Delta}^-_r$
0	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
±1	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	
±2	$\mathfrak{u}(1)$	$\{0\}$	
±3	$\mathfrak{sp}(1)$	$\{0\}$	
4	$\mathfrak{sp}(1)$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$

Proof of the Proposition

Case $r \equiv \pm1$ (mod 8)

In both cases there exist real structures $\gamma = \gamma_r$ on Δ_r. By using these real structures, we can describe the underlying real space $\tilde{\Delta}_r \subset \Delta_r$ as follows. Recall the unitary basis $\mathcal{B}$ of Δ_r and let

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \{u_{\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_{[r/2]}} + \gamma(u_{\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_{[r/2]}}), iu_{\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_{[r/2]}} + \gamma(iu_{\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_{[r/2]}}) \mid \varepsilon_j = \pm1,\ j = 1, \ldots, [r/2]\},$$

which is an orthogonal basis for

$$\tilde{\Delta}_r = \mathrm{span}(\mathcal{B}_1) = \{v + \gamma_r(v) \,|\, v \in \Delta_r\}.$$

since the hermitian product of Δ_r restricts to a real inner product on $\tilde{\Delta}_r$ (cf. (1)). Consider the $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ equivariant morphism

$$\Phi : \tilde{\Delta}_r \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_r \to \bigoplus_k \bigwedge^{2k} \mathbb{R}^r.$$

defined by

$$\Phi[(v+\gamma(v)) \otimes (w+\gamma(w))] = \sum_{k=0}^{[r/2]} \sum_{j_1<\cdots<j_{2k}} \langle e_{j_1}\ldots e_{j_{2k}}(v+\gamma(v)), (w+\gamma(w))\rangle \, e_{j_1}\ldots e_{j_{2k}},$$

where $\langle e_{j_1}\ldots e_{j_{2k}}(v+\gamma(v)), w+\gamma(w)\rangle$ is real. Now, let $v+\gamma(v) \in \mathcal{B}_1$ and let $\tilde{v} = e_{j_1}\ldots e_{j_{2k}} v$, so that $\pm(\tilde{v}+\gamma(\tilde{v})) \in \mathcal{B}_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_{j_1}\ldots e_{j_{2k}}(v+\gamma(v)), \tilde{v}+\gamma(\tilde{v})\rangle &= \langle e_{j_1}\ldots e_{j_{2k}} v + \gamma(e_{j_1}\ldots e_{j_{2k}} v), \tilde{v}+\gamma(\tilde{v})\rangle \\ &= \langle e_{j_1}\ldots e_{j_{2k}} v + \gamma(e_{j_1}\ldots e_{j_{2k}} v), e_{j_1}\ldots e_{j_{2k}} v + \gamma(e_{j_1}\ldots e_{j_{2k}} v)\rangle \\ &= 2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the image $\Phi(\tilde{\Delta}_r \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_r)$ has non-trivial projection to $\bigwedge^{2k}\mathbb{R}^r$ for $k = 0, \ldots, [r/2]$. Since the dimensions of $\tilde{\Delta}_r \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_r$ and $\bigoplus_k \bigwedge^{2k}\mathbb{R}^r$ coincide, Φ is equivariant and $\bigoplus_k \bigwedge^{2k}\mathbb{R}^r$ is a sum of nonequivalent irreducible representations, Schur's Lemma implies that

$$\tilde{\Delta}_r \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_r \cong \bigoplus_k \bigwedge^{2k}\mathbb{R}^r$$

as $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ representations. Moreover, since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_{j_1}\ldots e_{j_{4l}}(v+\gamma(v)), w+\gamma(w)\rangle &= \langle v+\gamma(v), e_{j_1}\ldots e_{j_{4l}}(w+\gamma(w))\rangle \\ &= \langle e_{j_1}\ldots e_{j_{4l}}(w+\gamma(w)), v+\gamma(v)\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$\Phi(\bigwedge^2\tilde{\Delta}_r)$ has trivial projection $\bigwedge^{4l}\mathbb{R}^r$.

Analogously, since

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle e_{j_1}\ldots e_{j_{4l+2}}(v+\gamma(v)), w+\gamma(w)\right\rangle &= \left\langle v+\gamma(v), -e_{j_1}\ldots e_{j_{4l+2}}(w+\gamma(w))\right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle -e_{j_1}\ldots e_{j_{4l+2}}(w+\gamma(w)), v+\gamma(v)\right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$\Phi(S^2\tilde{\Delta}_r)$ has trivial projection to $\bigwedge^{4l+2}\mathbb{R}^r$.

Recall that we wish to find the centralizer of $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ in $\mathfrak{so}(d_r) \subset \mathrm{End}(\tilde{\Delta}_r) \cong Cl_r^0$. Notice that, by Lemma 2.1,

$$C_{\mathrm{End}(\tilde{\Delta}_r)}(\mathfrak{spin}(r)) = C_{Cl_r^0}(\mathfrak{spin}(r)) = \mathrm{span}(\mathrm{Id}_{d_r\times d_r}).$$

Hence,

$$C_{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}(\mathfrak{spin}(r)) = \{0\} \subset \mathfrak{so}(d_r) \cong \bigwedge^2\tilde{\Delta}_r.$$

Case $r \equiv -2 \pmod 8$

Recall that for $r \equiv -2 \pmod 8$ we have

$$\tilde{\Delta}_r \cong \tilde{\Delta}_{r+1}$$

as representations of $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$, and

$$\tilde{\Delta}_r = \mathrm{span}(\mathcal{B}_1).$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}_r \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_r \cong \tilde{\Delta}_{r+1} \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_{r+1}.$$

Since $r+1 \equiv -1 \pmod 8$,

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{r+1} \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_{r+1} \cong \bigwedge^{ev} \mathbb{R}^{r+1}$$

with respect to $\mathfrak{spin}(r+1)$, as proved in the previous subsection. Furthermore, $\mathbb{R}^{r+1} = \mathbb{R}^r \oplus 1$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\bigwedge^0 \mathbb{R}^{r+1} & = & 1, \\
\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{r+1} & = & \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^r + \mathbb{R}^r, \\
\bigwedge^4 \mathbb{R}^{r+1} & = & \bigwedge^4 \mathbb{R}^r + \bigwedge^3 \mathbb{R}^r, \\
\vdots & & \\
\bigwedge^r \mathbb{R}^{r+1} & = & \bigwedge^r \mathbb{R}^r + \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbb{R}^r.
\end{array}$$

and

$$\tilde{\Delta}_r \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_r \quad = \quad \bigwedge^* \mathbb{R}^r.$$

On the other hand,

$$\tilde{\Delta}_r \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_r \quad = \quad \bigwedge^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r + S_0^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r + 1.$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\bigwedge^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r & \cong & \bigwedge^2 \tilde{\Delta}_{r+1} \\
& \cong & \bigoplus \bigwedge^{4l+2} \mathbb{R}^{r+1}, \\
& \cong & \bigoplus\limits_{l \geq 0} \bigwedge^{4l+1} \mathbb{R}^r \bigoplus\limits_{l \geq 0} \bigwedge^{4l+2} \mathbb{R}^r, \\
S_0^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r & \cong & S_0^2 \tilde{\Delta}_{r+1} \\
& \cong & \bigoplus\limits_{l > 0} \bigwedge^{4l} \mathbb{R}^{r+1} \\
& \cong & \bigoplus\limits_{l > 0} \bigwedge^{4l} \mathbb{R}^r \bigoplus\limits_{l \geq 0} \bigwedge^{4l+3} \mathbb{R}^r.
\end{array}$$

We see that $\bigwedge^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r$ contains a 1-dimensional trivial $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ representation.

Recall that we wish to find the centralizer of $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ in $\mathfrak{so}(d_r) \subset \mathrm{End}(\tilde{\Delta}_r) \cong Cl_r$. Note that any element of Cl_r which commutes with $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ must commute with the volume element $e_1 e_2 \cdots e_r \in Cl_r$, and such elements are precisely Cl_r^0. Thus, by Lemma 2.1

$$C_{Cl_r}(\mathfrak{spin}(r)) \subseteq C_{Cl_r^0}(\mathfrak{spin}(r)) = \mathrm{span}(1) \oplus \mathrm{span}(e_1 \cdots e_r),$$

where $e_1 \cdots e_r$ acts as an orthogonal complex structure J on $\tilde{\Delta}_r$ which generates the afore mentioned 1-dimensional trivial summand in $\bigwedge^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r$. Hence,

$$C_{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}(\mathfrak{spin}(r)) = \mathrm{span}(J) \cong \mathfrak{u}(1) \subset \mathfrak{so}(d_r) \cong \bigwedge^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r.$$

Case $r \equiv 2 \pmod 8$

In this case, there exists a quaternionic structure γ_r on $\Delta_r$which commutes with Clifford multiplication. We can describe the real space $\tilde{\Delta}_r \subset \Delta_r$ as follows. Recall the unitary basis $\mathcal{B}$ of Δ_r and let

$$\mathcal{B}_2 = \{u_{\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_{r/2}} + \gamma(u_{\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_{r/2}}), iu_{\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_{r/2}} + \gamma(iu_{\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_{r/2}}) \mid \varepsilon_j = \pm 1,\ j = 1,\dots,r/2\}.$$

Note that the space generated by the orthogonal basis $\mathcal{B}_2$ is preserved by the action of $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ and Cl_r^0, the hermitian product in Δ_r restricts to an inner product to $\tilde{\Delta}_r$ (cf. (1)), and its dimension is d_r. Therefore

$$\tilde{\Delta}_r = \mathrm{span}(\mathcal{B}_2).$$

Now consider the $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ equivariant morphism

$$\Phi : \tilde{\Delta}_r \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_r \to \bigoplus_k \bigwedge^k \mathbb{R}^r$$

defined by

$$\Phi[(v + \gamma(v)) \otimes (w + \gamma(w))] = \sum_{k=0}^{r} \sum_{j_1 < \cdots < j_k} \langle e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_{2k}}(v + \gamma(v)), (w + \gamma(w))\rangle \, e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_k},$$

where $\langle e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_{2k}}(v + \gamma(v)), w + \gamma(w)\rangle$ is real. Let $v + \gamma(v) \in \mathcal{B}_2$ and $\tilde{v} = e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_k} v$, then $\pm(\tilde{v} + \gamma(\tilde{v})) \in \mathcal{B}_2$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_k}(v + \gamma(v)), \tilde{v} + \gamma(\tilde{v})\rangle &= \langle e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_k} v + \gamma(e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_k} v), \tilde{v} + \gamma(\tilde{v})\rangle \\
&= \langle e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_k} v + \gamma(e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_k} v), e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_k} v + \gamma(e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_k} v)\rangle \\
&= 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the image $\Phi(\tilde{\Delta}_r \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_r)$ has non-trivial projection to $\bigwedge^k \mathbb{R}^r$ for $k = 0, \dots, r$. Since the dimensions of $\tilde{\Delta}_r \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_r$ and $\bigoplus_k \bigwedge^k \mathbb{R}^r$ coincide, Φ is equivariant and $\bigoplus_k \bigwedge^k \mathbb{R}^r$ is a sum of nonequivalent irreducible representations, Schur's Lemma implies that

$$\tilde{\Delta}_r \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_r \cong \bigoplus_k \bigwedge^k \mathbb{R}^r$$

as $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ representations. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_{4l}}(v + \gamma(v)), w + \gamma(w)\rangle &= \langle v + \gamma(v), e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_{4l}}(w + \gamma(w))\rangle \\
&= \langle e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_{4l}}(w + \gamma(w)), v + \gamma(v)\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_{4l+3}}(v + \gamma(v)), w + \gamma(w)\right\rangle &= \left\langle v + \gamma(v), e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_{4l+3}}(w + \gamma(w))\right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_{4l+3}}(w + \gamma(w)), v + \gamma(v)\right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\Phi(\bigwedge^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r)$ has trivial projection to $\bigwedge^{4l} \mathbb{R}^r$ and $\bigwedge^{4l+3} \mathbb{R}^r$. Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_{4l+1}}(v + \gamma(v)), w + \gamma(w)\right\rangle &= \left\langle v + \gamma(v), -e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_{4l+1}}(w + \gamma(w))\right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle -e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_{4l+1}}(w + \gamma(w)), v + \gamma(v)\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left\langle e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_{4l+2}}(v + \gamma(v)), w + \gamma(w)\right\rangle = \left\langle v + \gamma(v), -e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_{4l+2}}(w + \gamma(w))\right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle -e_{j_1}\dots e_{j_{4l+2}}(w+\gamma(w)), v+\gamma(v)\right\rangle .$$

Therefore, $\Phi(S^2\tilde{\Delta}_r)$ has trivial projection to $\bigwedge^{4l+1}\mathbb{R}^r$ and $\bigwedge^{4l+2}\mathbb{R}^r$.

Recall that we wish to compute the centralizer of $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ in $\mathfrak{so}(d_r) \subset \mathrm{End}(\tilde{\Delta}_r) \cong Cl_r$. As in the previous case, any element of Cl_r which commutes with $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ must commute with the volume element $e_1e_2\cdots e_r \in Cl_r$, and such elements are precisely Cl_r^0. Thus, by Lemma 2.1,

$$C_{Cl_r}(\mathfrak{spin}(r)) \subseteq C_{Cl_r^0}(\mathfrak{spin}(r)) = \mathrm{span}(1) \oplus \mathrm{span}(e_1\cdots e_r).$$

where $e_1\cdots e_r$ acts as an orthogonal complex structure J on $\tilde{\Delta}_r$. Hence,

$$C_{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}(\mathfrak{spin}(r)) = \mathrm{span}(J) \cong \mathfrak{u}(1) \subset \mathfrak{so}(d_r) \cong \bigwedge^2\tilde{\Delta}_r.$$

Case $r \equiv 3 \pmod 8$

Recall that

$$\tilde{\Delta}_r \oplus \tilde{\Delta}_r \cong \tilde{\Delta}^+_{r+1} \oplus \tilde{\Delta}^-_{r+1} \cong \tilde{\Delta}_{r+2} \cong \tilde{\Delta}_{r+3}$$

as representations of $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$. Since γ_{r+3} is a real structure,

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{r+3} = \{v + \gamma_{r+3}(v) \mid v \in \Delta_{r+3}\}.$$

Moreover,

$$\tilde{\Delta}_r = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm e_1\dots e_r)\tilde{\Delta}_{r+3},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}_{r+3} \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_{r+3} &\cong (\tilde{\Delta}_r \oplus \tilde{\Delta}_r) \otimes (\tilde{\Delta}_r \oplus \tilde{\Delta}_r) \\ &\cong 4\,\tilde{\Delta}_r \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_r \end{aligned}$$

with respect to $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$. Since $r+3 \equiv -2 \pmod 8$,

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{r+3} \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_{r+3} \cong \bigwedge^*\mathbb{R}^{r+3}$$

with respect to $\mathfrak{spin}(r+3)$. Now $\mathbb{R}^{r+3} = \mathbb{R}^r \oplus 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge^0\mathbb{R}^{r+3} &= 1, \\ \bigwedge^1\mathbb{R}^{r+3} &= \mathbb{R}^r + 3, \\ \bigwedge^2\mathbb{R}^{r+3} &= \bigwedge^2\mathbb{R}^r + 3\mathbb{R}^r + 3, \\ \bigwedge^3\mathbb{R}^{r+3} &= \bigwedge^3\mathbb{R}^r + 3\bigwedge^2\mathbb{R}^r + 3\mathbb{R}^r + 1, \\ &\vdots \\ \bigwedge^{r+3}\mathbb{R}^{r+3} &= \bigwedge^{r+3}\mathbb{R}^r + 3\bigwedge^{r+2}\mathbb{R}^r + 3\bigwedge^{r+1}\mathbb{R}^r + \bigwedge^r\mathbb{R}^r = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$4\tilde{\Delta}_r \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_r = 8\bigwedge^*\mathbb{R}^r.$$

Therefore

$$\tilde{\Delta}_r \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_r = 2\bigwedge^*\mathbb{R}^r.$$

Recall that we wish to compute the centralizer of $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ in $\mathfrak{so}(d_r) \subset \mathrm{End}(\tilde{\Delta}_r) \subset \mathrm{End}(\tilde{\Delta}_{r+3}) \cong Cl_{r+3}$. First, we will compute $C_{Cl_{r+3}}(\mathfrak{spin}(r))$. Suppose

$$\eta = \sum_I \eta_I e_I \in C_{Cl_{r+3}}(\mathfrak{spin}(r)),$$

it must commute in Clifford product with every $e_i e_j \in \mathfrak{spin}(r)$, $1 \leq i < j \leq r$. By Lemma 2.1, the only free coefficients are $\eta_\emptyset$, η_{r+1}, η_{r+2}, η_{r+3}, $\eta_{r+1,r+2}$, $\eta_{r+1,r+3}$, $\eta_{r+2,r+3}$, $\eta_{r+1,r+2,r+3}$, $\eta_{1,\ldots,r}$, $\eta_{1,\ldots,r+1}$, $\eta_{1,\ldots,r,r+2}$, $\eta_{1,\ldots,r,r+3}$, $\eta_{1,\ldots,r+2}$, $\eta_{1,\ldots,r+1,r+3}$, $\eta_{1,\ldots,r,r+2,r+3}$, $\eta_{1,\ldots,r+3}$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} C_{Cl_{r+3}}(\mathfrak{spin}(r)) \quad = \quad & \mathrm{span}(1, e_{r+1}, e_{r+2}, e_{r+3}, e_{r+1,r+2}, e_{r+1,r+3}, e_{r+2,r+3}, e_{r+1,r+2,r+3}, e_{1,\ldots,r}, \\ & e_{1,\ldots,r+1}, e_{1,\ldots,r,r+2}, e_{1,\ldots,r,r+3}, e_{1,\ldots,r+2}, e_{1,\ldots,r+1,r+3}, e_{1,\ldots,r,r+2,r+3}, e_{1,\ldots,r+3}.) \end{aligned}$$

These elements act as automorphisms of $\tilde{\Delta}_{r+3}$ via Clifford multiplication. Recall that the two copies of (the d_r-dimensional real representation) $\tilde{\Delta}_r$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}_r &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot \tilde{\Delta}_{r+3}, \\ \tilde{\Delta}_r &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot \tilde{\Delta}_{r+3}. \end{aligned}$$

We will restrict our attention to the first copy. In order to project these elements to automorphisms of this copy we need to multiply by $\frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r)$. Observe for example that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot 1 &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r), \\ \frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot e_1 \ldots e_r &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r), \\ \frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot e_{r+1} &= \frac{1}{2}(e_{r+1} + e_{1,\ldots,r+1}), \\ \frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot e_1 \ldots e_{r+1} &= \frac{1}{2}(e_{r+1} + e_{1,\ldots,r+1}), \end{aligned}$$

and, for $v \in \Delta_{r+3}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot \frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot (v + \gamma_{r+3}(v)) &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot (v + \gamma_{r+3}(v)) \\ \frac{1}{2}((e_{r+1} + e_{1,\ldots,r+1})) \cdot \frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot (v + \gamma_{r+3}(v)) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

so $\frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r)$ acts as the identity element on this copy of $\tilde{\Delta}_r$ and $\frac{1}{2}(e_{r+1} + e_{1,\ldots,r+1})$ acts as the null endmorphism on this copy of $\tilde{\Delta}_r$. It is not hard to check that the only projections that induce nonzero endomorphisms are $\frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r)$, $\frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot e_{r+1,r+2}$, $\frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot e_{r+1,r+3}$ and $\frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot e_{r+2,r+3}$. Note that the Hermitian product of Δ_{r+3} restricts to a positive definite inner product on $\tilde{\Delta}_{r+3}$ (cf (1)). Now we will check whether the endomorphisms induced by $\frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r)$, $\frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot e_{r+1,r+2}$, $\frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot e_{r+1,r+3}$ and $\frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot e_{r+2,r+3}$ are symmetric or antisymmetric:

- The element $\frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \in Cl_{r+3}$ acts as the identity on this copy of $\tilde{\Delta}_r$ so is a symmetric automorphism.
- For $v, w \in \tilde{\Delta}_{r+3}$, the element $\frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot e_{r+1,r+2} \in \mathfrak{spin}(r+3)$ is such that

  $$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle \frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot e_{r+1,r+2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot (v + \gamma_{r+3}(v)), \frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot (w + \gamma_{r+3}(w)) \right\rangle \\ &= -\left\langle \frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot (v + \gamma_{r+3}(v)), \frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot e_{r+1,r+2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot (w + \gamma_{r+3}(w)) \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

  so that $\frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1 \ldots e_r) \cdot e_{r+1,r+2}$ induces a complex structure I on $\tilde{\Delta}_r$. Indeed, it is a complex structure.

- Similarly, $\frac{1}{2}(1+e_1\dots e_r)\cdot e_{r+1,r+3}$ and $\frac{1}{2}(1+e_1\dots e_r)\cdot e_{r+2,r+3}$ induce complex structures J and K on $\tilde{\Delta}_r$.

Thus,

$$C_{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}(\mathfrak{spin}(r)) = \mathfrak{sp}(1) = \mathrm{span}\,(I,J,K) \subset \mathfrak{so}(d_r) \cong \bigwedge\nolimits^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r.$$

Case $r \equiv -3 \pmod 8$

Recall that

$$\tilde{\Delta}_r \cong \tilde{\Delta}_{r+2}$$

as $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ representations, and

$$\tilde{\Delta}_r \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_r \cong \tilde{\Delta}_{r+2} \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_{r+2}.$$

Since $r+2 \equiv -1 \pmod 8$,

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{r+2} \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_{r+2} \cong \bigwedge\nolimits^{ev} \mathbb{R}^{r+2}$$

as a $\mathfrak{spin}(r+2)$ representation and $\mathbb{R}^{r+2} = \mathbb{R}^r \oplus 2$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\bigwedge^0 \mathbb{R}^{r+2} &=& 1,\\
\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{r+2} &=& \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^r + 2\mathbb{R}^r + 1,\\
\bigwedge^4 \mathbb{R}^{r+2} &=& \bigwedge^4 \mathbb{R}^r + 2\bigwedge^3 \mathbb{R}^r + \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^r,\\
&\vdots&\\
\bigwedge^{r+1} \mathbb{R}^{r+2} &=& \bigwedge^{r+1} \mathbb{R}^r + 2\bigwedge^{r} \mathbb{R}^r + \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbb{R}^r,
\end{array}$$

so that

$$\tilde{\Delta}_r \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_r \quad = \quad 2\bigwedge\nolimits^{*} \mathbb{R}^r.$$

Recall that we wish to compute the centralizer of $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ in $\mathfrak{so}(d_r) \subset \mathrm{End}(\tilde{\Delta}_r) = \mathrm{End}(\tilde{\Delta}_{r+2}) \cong Cl^0_{r+2}$. By Lemma 2.1,

$$C_{Cl^0_{r+2}}(\mathfrak{spin}(r)) = \mathrm{span}(1, \quad e_{r+1}e_{r+2}, \quad e_1\dots e_{r+1}, \quad e_1\dots e_r e_{r+2}),$$

where the last three elements form a copy of $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$. By means of Clifford multiplication, these three elements act as orthogonal complex structures I, J, K on $\tilde{\Delta}_r$ and behave as quaternions, i.e.

$$\mathrm{span}(I,J,K) = \mathfrak{sp}(1) \subset \mathfrak{so}(d_r) \cong \bigwedge\nolimits^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r.$$

Case $r \equiv 0 \pmod 8$

Recall that $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ has two irreducible representations given by

$$\tilde{\Delta}_r^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm e_1\dots e_r)\cdot \tilde{\Delta}_{r+1},$$

so that

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{r+1} \cong \tilde{\Delta}_r^{+} \oplus \tilde{\Delta}_r^{-},$$

and

$$(\tilde{\Delta}_r^{+} \oplus \tilde{\Delta}_r^{-}) \otimes (\tilde{\Delta}_r^{+} \oplus \tilde{\Delta}_r^{-}) \cong \tilde{\Delta}_{r+1} \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_{r+1}$$

as $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ representations. Since $r+1 \equiv 1 \pmod 8$,

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{r+1} \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_{r+1} \cong \bigwedge\nolimits^{ev} \mathbb{R}^{r+1}$$

as a $\mathfrak{spin}(r+1)$ representation, and $\mathbb{R}^{r+1} = \mathbb{R}^r \oplus 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigwedge^0 \mathbb{R}^{r+1} &= 1, \\
\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{r+1} &= \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^r + \mathbb{R}^r, \\
\bigwedge^4 \mathbb{R}^{r+1} &= \bigwedge^4 \mathbb{R}^r + \bigwedge^3 \mathbb{R}^r, \\
&\vdots \\
\bigwedge^r \mathbb{R}^{r+1} &= \bigwedge^r \mathbb{R}^r + \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbb{R}^r,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$(\tilde{\Delta}_r^+ \oplus \tilde{\Delta}_r^-) \otimes (\tilde{\Delta}_r^+ \oplus \tilde{\Delta}_r^-) \quad = \quad \bigwedge^* \mathbb{R}^r,$$

which has only 2 trivial summands with respect to $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$. On the other hand,

$$(\tilde{\Delta}_r^+ \oplus \tilde{\Delta}_r^-) \otimes (\tilde{\Delta}_r^+ \oplus \tilde{\Delta}_r^-) \quad = \quad \bigwedge^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r^+ \oplus S_0^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r^+ \oplus 1 \oplus \bigwedge^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r^- \oplus S_0^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r^- \oplus 1 \oplus \tilde{\Delta}_r^+ \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_r^- \oplus \tilde{\Delta}_r^- \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_r^+,$$

i.e. no other summand contains a trivial $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ representation.

Recall that we wish to find the centralizer of $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ in $\mathfrak{so}(d_r) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(d_r) \subset \mathrm{End}(\tilde{\Delta}_r^+) \oplus \mathrm{End}(\tilde{\Delta}_r^-) \cong Cl_r^0$. By Lemma 2.1,

$$C_{Cl_r^0}(\mathfrak{spin}(r)) = \mathrm{span}(1) \oplus \mathrm{span}(e_1 \dots e_r).$$

Since both 1 and $e_1 \dots e_r$ induce symmetric endomorphisms on $\tilde{\Delta}_r$,

$$C_{\mathfrak{so}(d_r) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(d_r)}(\mathfrak{spin}(r)) = \{0\} \subset \mathfrak{so}(d_r) \cong \bigwedge^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r.$$

Case $r \equiv 4 \pmod 8$

Recall that $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ has two irreducible representations and

$$\tilde{\Delta}_r^+ \oplus \tilde{\Delta}_r^- \cong \tilde{\Delta}_{r+1} \cong \tilde{\Delta}_{r+2} \cong \tilde{\Delta}_{r+3}$$

as representations of $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$. Since $r+3 \equiv -1 \pmod 8$, γ_{r+3} is a real structure and

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{r+3} = \{v + \gamma_{r+3}(v) \mid v \in \Delta_{r+3}\}.$$

Moreover

$$\tilde{\Delta}_r^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm e_1 \dots e_r) \cdot \tilde{\Delta}_{r+3}$$

and

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{r+3} \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_{r+3} \cong (\tilde{\Delta}_r^+ \oplus \tilde{\Delta}_r^-) \otimes (\tilde{\Delta}_r^+ \oplus \tilde{\Delta}_r^-)$$

with respect to $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$. With respect to $\mathfrak{spin}(r+3)$,

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{r+3} \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_{r+3} \cong \bigwedge^{ev} \mathbb{R}^{r+3}.$$

Now, $\mathbb{R}^{r+3} = \mathbb{R}^r \oplus 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigwedge^0 \mathbb{R}^{r+3} &= 1, \\
\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{r+3} &= \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^r + 3\mathbb{R}^r + 3, \\
\bigwedge^4 \mathbb{R}^{r+3} &= \bigwedge^4 \mathbb{R}^r + 3\bigwedge^3 \mathbb{R}^r + 3\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^r + \mathbb{R}^r, \\
&\vdots \\
\bigwedge^{r+2} \mathbb{R}^{r+3} &= \bigwedge^{r+2} \mathbb{R}^r + 3\bigwedge^{r+1} \mathbb{R}^r + 3\bigwedge^r \mathbb{R}^r + \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbb{R}^r = 3 + \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathbb{R}^r,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\tilde{\Delta}_r^+ \oplus \tilde{\Delta}_r^-) \otimes (\tilde{\Delta}_r^+ \oplus \tilde{\Delta}_r^-) \quad = \quad 4 \bigwedge{}^* \mathbb{R}^r.$$

Recall that we wish to compute the centralizer of $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ in $\mathfrak{so}(d_r) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(d_r) \subset \mathrm{End}(\tilde{\Delta}_r^+ \oplus \tilde{\Delta}_r^-) = \mathrm{End}(\tilde{\Delta}_{r+3}) \cong Cl_{r+3}^0$. First we will compute $C_{Cl_{r+3}^0}(\mathfrak{spin}(r))$. If

$$\eta = \sum_{|I| \equiv 0(2)} \eta_I e_I \in C_{Cl_{r+3}^0}(\mathfrak{spin}(r))$$

then it must commute in Clifford product with every $e_i e_j \in \mathfrak{spin}(r)$, $1 \leq i < j \leq r$. By Lemma 2.1, the only free coefficients are $\eta_\emptyset$, $\eta_{r+1,r+2}$, $\eta_{r+1,r+3}$, $\eta_{r+2,r+3}$, $\eta_{1,\dots,r}$, $\eta_{1,\dots,r+2}$, $\eta_{1,\dots,r+1,r+3}$, $\eta_{1,\dots,r,r+2,r+3}$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} C_{Cl_{r+3}^0}(\mathfrak{spin}(r)) &= \mathrm{span}(1, e_{r+1,r+2}, e_{r+1,r+3}, e_{r+2,r+3}, e_{1,\dots,r}, e_{1,\dots,r+2}, e_{1,\dots,r+1,r+3}, e_{1,\dots,r,r+2,r+3}) \\ &= \mathrm{span}\left(\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm e_{1\dots r}), \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm e_{1\dots r})e_{r+1}e_{r+2}, \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm e_{1\dots r})e_{r+1}e_{r+3}, \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm e_{1\dots r})e_{r+2}e_{r+3}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we need to check which of these elements induce antisymmetric endomorphisms on $\tilde{\Delta}_r^\pm$. respectively.

- The element $\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm e_{1\dots r}) \in Cl_{r+3}^0$ induces the identity endomorphism on $\tilde{\Delta}_r^\pm$ and the null endomorphism on $\tilde{\Delta}_r^\mp$, both of which are symmetric.
- The elements $\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm e_1 \dots e_r)e_{r+1,r+2}$, $\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm e_1 \dots e_r)e_{r+1,r+3}$ and $\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm e_1 \dots e_r)e_{r+2,r+3}$ induce almost complex structures $I^\pm$, $J^\pm$, $K^\pm$ on $\tilde{\Delta}_r^\pm$ respectively, and the null endomorphism on $\tilde{\Delta}^\mp$. Such elements also commute with the elements of $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$. In other words,

  $$\mathfrak{sp}(1)^\pm = \mathrm{span}(I^\pm, J^\pm, K^\pm) \subset \bigwedge{}^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r^\pm$$

  are trivial $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ representations.

Hence,

$$C_{\mathfrak{so}(d_r) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(d_r)}(\mathfrak{spin}(r)) \cong \mathfrak{sp}(1)^+ \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)^-.$$

□

3 Centralizers

Due to geometric considerations in [6, 4], we will consider $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ embedded in $\mathfrak{so}(N)$ in the following way. Suppose that Cl_r^0 is represented on $\mathbb{R}^N$, for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, in such a way that each bivector $e_i e_j$ is mapped to an antisymmetric endomorphism J_{ij} satisfying

$$J_{ij}^2 = -\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^N}. \tag{2}$$

3.1 Centralizer of $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ in $\mathfrak{so}(d_r m)$, $r \not\equiv 0 \pmod 4$, $r > 1$

Let us assume $r \not\equiv 0 \pmod 4$, $r > 1$. In this case, $\mathbb{R}^N$ decomposes into a sum of irreducible representations of Cl_r^0. Since this algebra is simple, such irreducible representations can only be trivial or copies of the standard representation $\tilde{\Delta}_r$ of Cl_r^0 (cf. [5]). Due to (2), there are no trivial summands in such a decomposition so that

$$\mathbb{R}^N \quad = \quad \underbrace{\tilde{\Delta}_r \oplus \cdots \oplus \tilde{\Delta}_r}_{m\ times}.$$

By restricting to $\mathfrak{spin}(r) \subset Cl_r^0$,

$$\mathbb{R}^N = \tilde{\Delta}_r \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}^m$$

we see that $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ has an isomorphic image

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)} = \mathfrak{spin}(r) \otimes \{\mathrm{Id}_{m\times m}\} \subset \mathfrak{so}(d_r m),$$

which is the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(d_r m)$ whose centralizer $C_{\mathfrak{so}(d_r m)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)})$ we wish to find.

Theorem 3.1 *Let* $r \not\equiv 0 \pmod 4$ *and let* $\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)} \subset \mathfrak{so}(d_r m)$ *as described before. The centralizer of* $\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)}$ *in* $\mathfrak{so}(d_r m)$ *is isomorphic to*

$r \pmod 8$	$C_{\mathfrak{so}(d_r m)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)})$
1	$\mathfrak{so}(m)$
2	$\mathfrak{u}(m)$
3	$\mathfrak{sp}(m)$
5	$\mathfrak{sp}(m)$
6	$\mathfrak{u}(m)$
7	$\mathfrak{so}(m)$

Proof. Consider the real $(d_r m)$-dimensional real Grassmannian

$$\mathcal{G} = \frac{SO(d_r + m)}{SO(d_r) \times SO(m)}.$$

The tangent space factors as follows

$$\begin{aligned} T_{[\mathrm{Id}_{(d_r+m)\times(d_r+m)}]}\mathcal{G} &\cong \mathbb{R}^{d_r} \otimes \mathbb{R}^m \\ &\cong \mathbb{R}^{d_r m}. \end{aligned}$$

so that the differential of the isotropy representation is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{so}(d_r) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(m) &\longrightarrow [\mathfrak{so}(d_r) \otimes \{\mathrm{Id}_{m\times m}\}] \oplus [\{\mathrm{Id}_{d_r \times d_r}\} \otimes \mathfrak{so}(m)] \subset \mathfrak{so}(d_r m) \\ (A, B) &\mapsto A \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{m\times m} \oplus \mathrm{Id}_{d_r\times d_r} \otimes B. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m)} = \{\mathrm{Id}_{d_r\times d_r}\} \otimes \mathfrak{so}(m)$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)} = \mathfrak{so}(d_r) \otimes \{\mathrm{Id}_{m\times m}\}$. Thus, we see that $\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m)}$ centralizes $\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}$ in $\mathfrak{so}(d_r m)$, and

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m)} \subseteq C_{\mathfrak{so}(d_r m)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)}).$$

Let us consider the following orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathfrak{so}(d_r m) = [\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m)} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}] \oplus \mathfrak{m},$$

and set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g} &= \mathfrak{so}(d_r m), \\ \mathfrak{h} &= \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m)} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the homogeneous space

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{SO(d_r m)}{SO(d_r) \otimes SO(m)}$$

is Riemannian homogeneous, it is reductive, i.e.

$$[\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{m}] \quad\subset\quad \mathfrak{m}.$$

Let

$$X = X_1 + X_2 + X_3 \in \mathfrak{g}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &\in \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m)}, \\ X_2 &\in \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}, \\ X_3 &\in \mathfrak{m}, \end{aligned}$$

and assume that $X \in C_{\mathfrak{so}(d_r m)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)})$, i.e.

$$[X,Y]=0$$

for all $Y \in \widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)}$. Thus,

$$0 \quad=\quad [X_1,Y]+[X_2,Y]+[X_3,Y].$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} [X_1,Y] &\in \mathfrak{h}, \\ [X_2,Y] &\in \mathfrak{h}, \\ [X_3,Y] &\in \mathfrak{m}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} [X_1+X_2,Y] &= 0, \\ [X_3,Y] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $X_1 \in \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m)}$ and $Y \in \widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)} \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}$,

$$[X_1,Y]=0,$$

which implies

$$[X_2,Y]=0.$$

On the other hand, since

$$[X_3,Y]=0$$

for all $Y \in \widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)}$, the subalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ acts trivially on the 1-dimensional subspace of the tangent space $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ at $[\mathrm{Id}_{(d_r m)\times(d_r m)}]$ generated by X_3. Now, as a representation of $\mathfrak{h} = \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m)} \cong \mathfrak{so}(d_r) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(m)$,

$$\mathfrak{m} \cong \left[\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{d_r} \otimes S_0^2 \mathbb{R}^m\right] \oplus \left[S_0^2 \mathbb{R}^{d_r} \otimes \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^m\right].$$

By restricting to $\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}$

$$\mathfrak{m} \quad\cong\quad \left[\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{d_r} \otimes \left(\binom{m+1}{2}-1\right)\right] \oplus \left[S_0^2 \mathbb{R}^{d_r} \otimes \binom{m}{2}\right],$$

i.e. $\mathfrak{m}$ decomposes as the sum of multiple copies of the irreducible $\mathfrak{so}(d_r)$ representations $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{d_r}$ and $S_0^2 \mathbb{R}^{d_r}$. By restricting further to $\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)} \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}$, $\mathfrak{m}$ decomposes as

$$\mathfrak{m} \quad\cong\quad \left[\bigwedge^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r \otimes \left(\binom{m+1}{2}-1\right)\right] \oplus \left[S_0^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r \otimes \binom{m}{2}\right]. \tag{3}$$

Both $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ representations $\bigwedge^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r$ and $S_0^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r$ decompose further into irreducible summands. Now we need to work out three cases separately.

Case $r \equiv \pm 1 \pmod 8$**:**

By Proposition 2.1, the centralizer of $\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)}$ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}$ is trivial, i.e.

$$X_2 = 0.$$

Recall that $\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)}$ preserves each summand in (3) and annihilates X_3. By Proposition 2.1, there are no trivial summands in either $\bigwedge^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r$ nor $S_0^2\tilde{\Delta}_r$, i.e.

$$X_3 = 0.$$

Hence

$$X = X_1 \in \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m)}.$$

Case $r \equiv \pm 2 \pmod 8$**:**

By Proposition 2.1, the centralizer of $\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)}$ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}$ is a copy of $\mathfrak{u}(1)$, i.e.

$$X_2 = \lambda J \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{m\times m},$$

where J is an orthogonal complex structure that generates $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Recall that $\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)}$ preserves each summand in (3) and annihilates X_3. There are no trivial summands in $S_0^2\tilde{\Delta}_r$, but there is a trivial summand in $\bigwedge^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r$ generated precisely by J, since it is an antisymmetric endomorphism. We see that $\mathfrak{m}$ contains

$$\mathrm{span}(J) \otimes S_0^2\mathbb{R}^m$$

as a trivial $\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)}$ representation. Hence

$$X \in \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m)} \oplus \mathrm{span}(J) \otimes (\mathrm{span}(\mathrm{Id}_{m\times m}) \oplus S_0^2\mathbb{R}^m) \subset \mathfrak{so}(d_r m).$$

In order to recogize which Lie algebra $\left[\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m)} \oplus \mathrm{span}(J) \otimes S^2\mathbb{R}^m\right]$ is, notice that if $A \in \mathfrak{u}(m)$, by separating real and imaginary parts

$$A = A_1 + iA_2,$$

$A_1 \in \mathfrak{so}(m)$ is antisymmetric and A_2 is symmetric, i.e. $A_2 \in S^2\mathbb{R}^m$. Here, a canonical summand $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ is spanned by the element $i\mathrm{Id}_{m\times m}$. Note that due to the existence of J, we can work instead with a complex vector space, where J corresponds to i, $J \otimes S_0^2\mathbb{R}^m$ corresponds to $iS_0^2\mathbb{R}^m$ and

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m)} \oplus \mathrm{span}(J) \otimes S^2\mathbb{R}^m \cong \mathfrak{u}(m).$$

Case $r \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$**:**

By Proposition 2.1, the centralizer of $\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)}$ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}$ is a copy of $\mathfrak{sp}(1) = \mathrm{span}(I, J, K)$, where I, J, K are three orthogonal complex structures which behave as imaginary quaternions. Thus,

$$X_2 \in \mathfrak{sp}(1) \otimes \mathrm{span}(\mathrm{Id}_{m\times m}).$$

By Proposition 2.1, $S_0^2\tilde{\Delta}_r$ contains no trivial $\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)}$ representations, but $\bigwedge^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r$ does contain a 3-dimensional one given by $\mathfrak{sp}(1) = \mathrm{span}(I, J, K)$. We have the trivial $\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)}$ representation in $\mathfrak{m}$

$$\mathrm{span}(I, J, K) \otimes S_0^2\mathbb{R}^m = \mathfrak{sp}(1) \otimes S_0^2\mathbb{R}^m.$$

Altogether, we have that

$$X \in= \mathfrak{so}(m) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1) \otimes S^2\mathbb{R}^m.$$

In order to recognize this Lie algebra, notice that if $A \in \mathfrak{sp}(m)$, by separating real and imaginary parts

$$A = A_1 + iA_2 + jA_3 + kA_4,$$

$A_1 \in \mathfrak{so}(m)$ is antisymmetric and A_2, A_3, A_4 are symmetric, i.e. $A_2, A_3, A_4 \in S^2\mathbb{R}^m$. The summand $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$ is spanned by the elements $i\mathrm{Id}_{m\times m}, j\mathrm{Id}_{m\times m}, k\mathrm{Id}_{m\times m}$. Moreover, due to the existence of I, J, K, we can work instead with a quaternionic vector space, in which, I corresponds to i, J corresponds to j, K corresponds to k, and $\mathrm{span}(I, J, K) \otimes S_0^2\mathbb{R}^m$ corresponds to $iS_0^2\mathbb{R}^m \oplus jS_0^2\mathbb{R}^m \oplus kS_0^2\mathbb{R}^m$ so that

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m)} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1) \otimes S^2\mathbb{R}^m \cong \mathfrak{sp}(m).$$

□

3.2 Centralizer of $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ in $\mathfrak{so}(d_rm_1 + d_rm_2)$, $r \equiv 0 \pmod 4$

Let us assume $r \equiv 0 \pmod 4$. Recall that if $\hat{\Delta}_r$ is the irreducible representation of Cl_r, then by restricting this representation to Cl_r^0 it splits as the sum of two inequivalent irreducible representations

$$\hat{\Delta}_r = \tilde{\Delta}_r^+ \oplus \tilde{\Delta}_r^-.$$

Since $\mathbb{R}^N$ is a representation of Cl_r^0 satisfying (2), there are no trivial summands in such a decomposition so that

$$\mathbb{R}^N \quad = \quad \tilde{\Delta}_r^+ \otimes \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \oplus \tilde{\Delta}_r^- \otimes \mathbb{R}^{m_2}.$$

By restricting this representation to $\mathfrak{spin}(r) \subset Cl_r^0$, consider

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)} = \mathfrak{spin}(r)^+ \otimes (\mathrm{Id}_{m_1\times m_1} \oplus \mathbf{0}_{m_2\times m_2}) \oplus \mathfrak{spin}(r)^- \otimes (\mathbf{0}_{m_1\times m_1} \oplus \mathrm{Id}_{m_2\times m_2}) \subset \mathfrak{so}(d_rm_1 + d_rm_2),$$

where $\mathfrak{spin}(r)^\pm$ are the images of $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ in $\mathrm{End}(\tilde{\Delta}_r^\pm)$ respectively. We wish to find the centralizer $C_{\mathfrak{so}(d_rm_1+d_rm_2)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)})$.

Theorem 3.2 *Let* $r \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ *. The centralizer of* $\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)}$ *in* $\mathfrak{so}(d_rm_1 + d_rm_2)$ *is isomorphic to*

$r \pmod 8$	$C_{\mathfrak{so}(d_rm_1+d_rm_2)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)})$
0	$\mathfrak{so}(m_1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(m_2)$
4	$\mathfrak{sp}(m_1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(m_2)$

Proof. Consider the homogeneous space

$$\mathcal{G} = \frac{SO(m_1 + d_r) \times SO(m_2 + d_r)}{(SO(d_r) \times SO(m_1)) \times (SO(d_r) \times SO(m_2))}.$$

with the obvious inclusions of subgroups. The tangent space decomposes as follows

$$T_{[\mathrm{Id}_{(2d_r+m_1+m_2)\times(d_r+m_1+m_2)}]}\mathcal{G} \quad \cong \quad \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{d_r} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{m_2} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{d_r},$$

Let

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m_1)} \quad = \quad (\mathrm{Id}_{d_r\times d_r} \oplus \mathbf{0}_{d_r\times d_r}) \otimes \mathfrak{so}(m_1),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m_2)} &= (\mathbf{0}_{d_r\times d_r}\oplus \mathrm{Id}_{d_r\times d_r})\otimes \mathfrak{so}(m_2),\\ \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}_1 &= \mathfrak{so}(d_r)\otimes(\mathrm{Id}_{m_1\times m_1}\oplus \mathbf{0}_{m_2\times m_2}),\\ \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}_2 &= \mathfrak{so}(dr)\otimes(\mathbf{0}_{m_1\times m_1}\oplus \mathrm{Id}_{m_2\times m_2}).\end{aligned}$$

We see that $\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m_1)}\oplus\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m_2)}$ centralizes $\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}_1\oplus\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}_2$ in $\mathfrak{so}(d_rm_1+d_rm_2)$,

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m_1)}\oplus\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m_2)}\subseteq C_{\mathfrak{so}(d_rm_1+d_rm_2)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)}).$$

Let us consider the following orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathfrak{so}(d_rm_1+d_rm_2)=[\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m_1)}\oplus\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}_1]\oplus[\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m_2)}\oplus\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}_2]\oplus\mathfrak{m},$$

and set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{g} &= \mathfrak{so}(d_rm_1+d_rm_2),\\ \mathfrak{h} &= \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m_1)}\oplus\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}_1\oplus\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m_2)}\oplus\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}_2.\end{aligned}$$

Since the homogeneous space

$$\mathcal{F}=\frac{SO(d_rm_1+d_rm_2)}{(SO(m_1)\otimes SO(d_r))\times(SO(m_2)\otimes SO(d_r))}$$

is Riemannian homogeneous, it is reductive, and

$$[\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{m}]\quad\subset\quad\mathfrak{m}.$$

Let

$$X=X_1+X_2+X_3\in\mathfrak{g}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}X_1 &\in \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m_1)}\oplus\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m_2)},\\ X_2 &\in \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}_1\oplus\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}_2,\\ X_3 &\in \mathfrak{m},\end{aligned}$$

and assume that $X\in C_{\mathfrak{so}(d_rm_1+d_rm_2)}(\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)})$, i.e.

$$[X,Y]=0$$

for all $Y\in\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)}$. Thus,

$$0\quad=\quad[X_1,Y]+[X_2,Y]+[X_3,Y].$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}[][X_1,Y] &\in \mathfrak{h},\\ [X_2,Y] &\in \mathfrak{h},\\ [X_3,Y] &\in \mathfrak{m},\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}[][X_1+X_2,Y] &= 0,\\ [X_3,Y] &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since $X_1 \in \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m_1)} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m_2)}$ and $Y \in \widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)} \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}_1 \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}_2$,

$$[X_1, Y] = 0,$$

which implies

$$[X_2, Y] = 0.$$

Since

$$[X_3, Y] = 0$$

for all $Y \in \widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)}$, the subalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ acts trivially on the 1-dimensional subspace of the tangent space $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ at $[\mathrm{Id}_{(d_r m_1 + d_r m_2)\times(d_r m_1 + d_r m_2)}]$ generated by X_3. Note that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathfrak{so}(d_r m_1 + d_r m_2) & = & \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}_1^{d_r} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}_2^{d_r} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{m_2}) \\ & = & \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}_1^{d_r} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{m_1}) \oplus (\mathbb{R}_1^{d_r} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{m_1}) \otimes (\mathbb{R}_2^{d_r} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{m_2}) \oplus \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}_2^{d_r} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{m_2}) \\ & \cong & \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}_1 \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m_1)} \oplus \left[\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}_1^{d_r} \otimes S_0^2\mathbb{R}^{m_1} \oplus S_0^2\mathbb{R}_1^{d_r} \otimes \bigwedge^2\mathbb{R}^{m_1}\right] \\ & & \oplus\, \mathbb{R}_1^{d_r} \otimes \mathbb{R}_2^{d_r} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{m_2} \\ & & \oplus\, \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}_2 \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m_2)} \oplus \left[\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{R}_2^{d_r} \otimes S_0^2\mathbb{R}^{m_2} \oplus S_0^2\mathbb{R}_2^{d_r} \otimes \bigwedge^2\mathbb{R}^{m_2}\right], \end{array}$$

so that, by restricting to $\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)}$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathfrak{m} & = & \left[\bigwedge^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r^+ \otimes S_0^2\mathbb{R}^{m_1} \oplus S_0^2\tilde{\Delta}_r^+ \otimes \bigwedge^2\mathbb{R}^{m_1}\right] \\ & & \oplus\, \tilde{\Delta}_r^+ \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_r^- \otimes \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{m_2} \\ & & \oplus\, \left[\bigwedge^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r^- \otimes S_0^2\mathbb{R}^{m_2} \oplus S_0^2\tilde{\Delta}_r^- \otimes \bigwedge^2\mathbb{R}^{m_2}\right]. \end{array}$$

Now we need to check two cases separately.

Case $r \equiv 0 \pmod 8$**:**

By Proposition 2.1, the centralizer of $\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)}$ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}_1 \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}_2$ is trivial, i.e.

$$X_2 = 0.$$

By Proposition 2.1, $\mathfrak{m}$ has no trivial summands, i.e.

$$X_3 = 0.$$

Hence

$$X = X_1 \in \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m_1)} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m_2)}.$$

Case $r \equiv 4 \pmod 8$**:**

By Proposition 2.1, the centralizer of $\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)}$ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}_1 \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{so}(d_r)}_2$ is a copy of $\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$, i.e.

$$X_2 \in [\mathfrak{sp}(1) \otimes (\mathrm{Id}_{m_1\times m_1} \oplus \mathbf{0}_{m_2\times m_2})] \oplus [\mathfrak{sp}(1) \otimes (\mathbf{0}_{m_1\times m_1} \oplus \mathrm{Id}_{m_2\times m_2})]$$

By Proposition 2.1, the only $\widehat{\mathfrak{spin}(r)}$ representations in $\mathfrak{m}$ containing trivial $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ summands are $\bigwedge^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r^{\pm}$. More precisely, $\bigwedge^2 \tilde{\Delta}_r^{\pm}$ constains a 3-dimensional trivial $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ representation $\mathfrak{sp}(1)^{\pm} =$

span$(I^{\pm}, J^{\pm}, K^{\pm})$, where $I^{\pm}, J^{\pm}, K^{\pm}$ are orthogonal complex structures on $\tilde{\Delta}_r^{\pm}$ which behave as quaternions. Thus, we have the trivial $\mathfrak{spin}(r)$ representation in $\mathfrak{m}$

$$\mathfrak{sp}(1)^{+} \otimes S_0^2\mathbb{R}^{m_1} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)^{-} \otimes S_0^2\mathbb{R}^{m_2}.$$

Altogether, we have

$$X \in [\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m_1)} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)^{+} \otimes S^2\mathbb{R}^{m_1}] \oplus [\widehat{\mathfrak{so}(m_2)} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)^{-} \otimes S^2\mathbb{R}^{m_2}] \cong \mathfrak{sp}(m_1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(m_2).$$

□

References

[1] Arizmendi, G.; Herrera, R.; Santana, N.: Almost even-Clifford hermitian manifolds with large automorphism group. Preprint (2015)

[2] Berger, M.: Sur les groupes d'holonomie homogne des varits connexion affine et des varits riemanniennes. (French) Bull. Soc. Math. France **83** (1955), 279-330

[3] Friedrich, T.: Dirac operators in Riemannian geometry, AMS.

[4] Herrera, R.; Santana, N.: Spinorially twisted spin structures, II: pure spinors and holonomy. In preparation (2015)

[5] Lawson, H. B., Jr.; Michelsohn, M.-L.: Spin geometry. Princeton Mathematical Series, 38. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989. xii+427 pp. ISBN: 0-691-08542-0

[6] Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.: *Clifford structures on Riemannian manifolds.* Adv. Math. **228** (2011), no. 2, 940–967.

[7] Santana, N.: Almost quaternion-hermitian manifolds with large automorphism group. J. Geom. Phys. **61** (2011) 347-351.

[8] Simons, J.: On the transitivity of holonomy systems. Ann. of Math. (2) **76** 1962 213-234

[9] Tanno, S.: The automorphism groups of almost Hermitian manifolds. Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. Volumen 25, 2, 1973.

[10] Wang, H. C.: Finsler spaces with completely integrable equations of Killing. J. London Math. Soc., 22, 1949.

[11] Wakakuwa, H.: On n-dimensional Riemannian spaces admitting some groups of motions of order less than $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$. Tôhoku Math. J. (2), 1954.